WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	v.	OF	RDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Gı	uillermo Aguilera-Ramirez	_ Case Numbe	er: <u>07-6314M</u>
present and wa	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § as represented by counsel. I conclude be defendant pending trial in this case.	3142(f), a detention heari by a preponderance of the	ing was held on December 6, 2007. Defendant was e evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the
I find by a prep	oonderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT	
	The defendant is not a citizen of the	United States or lawfully	admitted for permanent residence.
×	The defendant, at the time of the cha	rged offense, was in the	United States illegally.
	If released herein, the defendant Enforcement, placing him/her beyond or otherwise removed.	faces removal proceeding the jurisdiction of this Co	ngs by the Bureau of Immigration and Custom burt and the defendant has previously been deported
	The defendant has no significant cor	tacts in the United States	s or in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resources in the to assure his/her future appearance.	e United States from whi	ich he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal hi	story.	
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico		
	The defendant is an amnesty applic substantial family ties to Mexico.	ant but has no substant	tial ties in Arizona or in the United States and ha
	There is a record of prior failure to ap	pear in court as ordered.	
	The defendant attempted to evade la	w enforcement contact b	y fleeing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a maximum	of	_ years imprisonment.
The Coat the time of t	he hearing in this matter, except as no	rial findings of the Pretrial ted in the record. ONCLUSIONS OF LAW	I Services Agency which were reviewed by the Cour
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defen No condition or combination of condi	dant will flee.	ure the appearance of the defendant as required.
a corrections for appeal. The do of the United S	acility separate, to the extent practicable efendant shall be afforded a reasonable states or on request of an attorney for th ne United States Marshal for the purpo	e, from persons awaiting on e opportunity for private co e Government, the perso	his/her designated representative for confinement in or serving sentences or being held in custody pending consultation with defense counsel. On order of a cour on in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the onnection with a court proceeding.
IT IS C deliver a copy Court.	DRDERED that should an appeal of this	detention order be filed to	with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to ast one day prior to the hearing set before the Distric
IT IS F Services suffic	URTHER ORDERED that if a release to see the second in advance of the hearing before a potential third party custodian.	o a third party is to be con the District Court to allo	nsidered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria ow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
DAT	ED this 6 th day of December, 200	07.	
		Aug	

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge